

STATINTL

CLANDESTINE SERVICE SENIOR SEMINARCRITIQUE

1. As you know, the first four days of the Seminar were designed as a sort of backdrop to the problem-tackling weeks, providing: a. a brief survey of developments since World War II and of present international conditions, with particular emphasis on those forces and trends which pose problems for U. S. national objectives and security; b. a look at ourselves and prospects for the future -- the CS in a changing world; and c. an up-to-date and candid look at "the national security process" and the CS role therein. Did you find this portion of the Seminar useful?

a. No _____

b. Yes, to a slight degree _____

c. Yes, to a moderate degree _____

d. Yes, to a good degree _____

e. Yes, to an exceptional degree _____

Unfortunately I did not, because of health reasons, attend the first week of the Seminar. I can offer no comment, therefore.

2. Quite apart from the CS Senior Seminar, do you think that a brief (2 or 3 day) seminar on The CS in a Changing World along the same lines as this backdrop portion would be useful and interesting to other senior CS officers?

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Two

- I cannot comment meaningfully on this question either*
- a. No _____
b. Yes, to a slight degree _____
c. Yes, to a moderate degree _____
d. Yes, to a good degree ~~_____~~
e. Yes, to an exceptional degree _____

3. Unfortunately, agenda changes and other factors beyond our control did not permit the timely dissemination of complete reading kits. Except for receiving reading material in piecemeal fashion, did you find the documents useful to your consideration of the problems discussed?

- The volume of the materials offered, combined with the lack of time, prevented me from consulting, except in a hurried fashion, the materials.*
- a. No _____
b. Yes, to a slight degree _____
c. Yes, to a moderate degree _____
d. Yes, to a good degree _____
e. Yes, to an exceptional degree _____
- I cannot, therefore, comment on this point*
4. Do you have any suggestions for changes or improvements in

the techniques of the Seminar, e.g., in the method of preparing the papers, the handling of outside contributions by guest speakers, etc.?

My comments on this aspect will be the subject of a separate memo.

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Three

5. Do you have any suggestions for changes and improvements in the administration of the Seminar, including space and location?

My comments on this follow separately.

6. Do you think the size of the group was appropriate? If not, please explain.

Separate comments follow.

7. Do you think that Seminar members were well selected to meet the requirements placed on the Seminar? If not, do you have any suggestions for improving the composition of any such future Seminar?

Separate comments follow

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Four

8. Did you find the Seminar topics pertinent and challenging?
What topics would you have included as "vital, current problems of
the CS?"

Separate comments follow

9. Do you have any other comments on the Seminar which might be
useful as a basis for improvement or modification of any future Seminar?

Separate comments follow—